CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING 2/3/2022 CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, www.yourcaptioner.com

4:00PM

VERONICA LEWIS: Good afternoon. Welcome to the community investment advisory committee meeting on February 3, 2022. The meeting is called to order at 4:07 PM.

MYLES MESHACK: Good afternoon. I will be reading the disclosures and for your reference, I will put these in the chat when I am done. This meeting is recorded for minutes. You consent to being recorded. This is a public meeting. Since conversations are not visible to people that are on the phone and can't participate, chat is just for tech assistance.

If members of the public would like to provide comment, please do so during the period for that item or the general period. Cart services are available. You may click ton stream text link which I will put in the chat shortly.

Raise hand instructions. When accessing Zoom through a computer or smart phone application, scroll to reactions. You will see raise hand feature. On the phone, scroll to more. You will see a drop-down menu with the raise hand feature.

Telephone information will be on the chat shortly. You dial star nine to raise your hand and star six to unmute. For

Spanish, click on the globe. Select Spanish. Public comment, for written public comments prior to the meeting, it should be submitted by 5:00 PM the day before. They will be shared with the members prior to the meeting and will be reflected in the minutes.

Written comments after will be made part of the public record. Members may not have the chance to review the comments. This ends the reading. Back to your, chair.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Let us call the role.

RENITA BOWLIN: Hello. Andrews or alternate.

>>: Present.

>>: Armsted.

>>: Present.

>>: Carbahol.

>>: Present.

>>: Castillo.

>>: Present.

>>: Crunk. Cyrus?

>>: Present.

>>: Early.

>>: Present.

>>: Thank you. Ferrare. Or the alternate. Garcia.

>>: Present.

>>: Thank you. Golly or alternate.

VERONICA LEWIS: Dr. Sy is here.

>>: Sorry. It took me a while.

>>: Okay. Member Hernandez or alternate. Sophia. Are you there? We will come back to you. Member Jimenez. Or the alternate. Chair Lewis.

VERONICA LEWIS: Present.

>>: Sorry. Can you hear me?

>>: Hi. We can hear you, Sophia.

>>: Present.

>>: Nishiama. O'Bryan.

>>: Present.

>>: Member Lobianco.

>>: Jose Perez.

>>: Scoonover.

>>: Present.

>>: Sharon.

>>: Present.

>>: Soto. Are you there? I think I saw Jose raise his hand earlier.

MYLES MESHACK: I let Rosa in too.

>>: I am here.

>>: Member Steele.

>>: Present.

>>: Stevens.

>>: Present.

>>: Willams or alternate.

>>: Here.

>>: Jimenez or alternate.

>>: I am here for Antonio Jimenez.

>>: We have quorum with 12 members and eight alternates.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Dr. Scorza will do land acknowledgment.

>>: Thank you so much we open this meeting today with a land acknowledgment. They are powerful ways to show respect.

They serve as a step towards correcting the practices that erase Indigenous people's history. They offer respect.

I open up by recognizing the first people. The land that we call Los Angeles County today. With respect to their elders, I recognize [FOREIGN LANGUAGE] as the original stewards of this land and those we must lift up with their stories and culture.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you so much. Next is a reminder and quick review by one of our vice chairs on our community agreement.

>>: Thank you. Can you pull it up? Good evening. Going over the community agreements. Respect the diverse voices.

Remain open minded. Be mindful of power dynamics and the historical disenfranchisement of the communities. Be mindful of the diverse audiences you are presenting to. Speak with clarity. Challenge the idea and not the person.

We are here to center the black, brown, Indigenous, and other communities most impacted by the carceral system.

Transparency and follow through. Be intentional about hearing.

Let equity lead the way. Make space for youth voice. Allow community members to finish sentences and thoughts during public comment. Review community agreements before every meeting. Begin CFCI meetings with the land acknowledgment statement respecting the people of the land we now call Los Angeles County. Thank you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Welcome again. Today, we are going year one and two business. Our first order will be to continue the conversation around how this committee would like to recommend the 1.5 million allocated. This will be our first major vote to allocation of funds. Someone from YDD is here with us.

Then we will move onto share the survey results. Thanks to the members who took time to fill out the survey. Taylor with review the results to show which engagement strategies ranked the highest for the groups.

Then we will start the conversation about how we want to receive concepts and how year two funding should be spent. That will be followed up at the second February meeting. We will finalize the process. We will start that today. I anticipate we will end on time. Please send me the list. We are voting on a few things today.

Next is to review the minutes from January 20th. We will take questions or corrections at this time or a motion to move them forward. Thanks for listening to our input. The minutes from January 20th, we have the transcript and a direct link to the recording.

I am excited that we can minimize access barriers. Thank you so much for following through on that. Questions or comments? Please use the Zoom function to raise your hand or unmute yourself.

>>: Approval or the minutes.

>>: Second.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Any comments or edits for the minutes. Seeing none, let us take public comment.

MYLES MESHACK: We have reached public comment for the minutes from last meeting. If you are online, please use the raise hand function. We will call on you in the order in which you have raised your hand.

I will lower your hand when you have completed your comment. Star nine on the phone to raise your hand. Star six to unmute. State your full name before your comment. Do we have anyone who would like to make a public comment? We have one hand from Sharin. I cannot see your full name.

VERONICA LEWIS: This is on Item Number 3 only.

MYLES MESHACK: The minutes. Go ahead. Can you unmute?

>>: Yes. My first time. I guess this is not where I speak.
Sorry about that.

MYLES MESHACK: No problem. Anyone looking to make a public comment on minutes. Seeing no hands, we will send it back to you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Last chance before we vote. Any edits or comments? Please call for the vote.

>>: Calling to approve the minutes from last meeting.
Sharon.

>>: Approved.

>>: Joel.

>>: Aye.

>>: Castillo.

>>: Aye.

>>: Crunk. Cyrus.

>>: Aye.

>>: Early.

>>: Aye.

>>: Dr. Sy.

>>: Aye.

>>: Garcia.

>>: Aye.

>>: Dr. Galley. Sophia Lee.

>>: Aye.

>>: Sharrie.

```
>>: Aye.
```

>>: Chair Lewis.

VERONICA LEWIS: Aye.

>>: Nishiama. O'Bryan.

>>: Aye.

>>: Jose.

>>: Aye.

>>: Scoonover.

>>: Aye.

>>: Scorza.

>>: Aye.

>>: Sharon.

>>: Aye.

>>: Soto.

>>: Aye.

>>: Steele.

>>: Aye.

>>: Thank you. Stevens.

>>: Aye.

>>: Williams.

>>: Aye.

>>: I see member Crunk.

>>: I am so sorry for being late.

>>: Were you here to vote on the minutes?

>>: Aye.

>>: Okay. With that, the motion to approve the minutes passes with 20 yes votes.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Item 4. This is continued from our meeting, the second meeting in January. We heard presentations from two different people related to activities and efforts in the county around youth.

We asked YDD, the youth development department, to be present today to answer questions. We will take action today.

1.5 million of the resources to support creation of physical hubs is not needed at this time. Given some of the other resources shared with us a few weeks ago.

We have been asked to recommend how the dollars should be spent. It has come up several times that there is a strong interest from this committee to make sure the reallocation is related to something (inaudible) that would support the youth.

I look forward to hearing from them. We want to show you which approved line item is coming out of year one. After that, I want to show you some of this youth related items that were in tier two. The measure J committee. In addition to primary request, the things that committee asked to be funded in year one, there were additional projects that we included as tier two if additional resources came.

We will start the discussion by looking at those items that were pushed through the community. That is the course of action. Then we will call for a vote to make a recommendation.

Is everyone clear? Does anyone need more context before we jump into this? We have shown this before would you like me to pull it up?

>>: I have it.

VERONICA LEWIS: We will show you the project that was funded that was slated to be funded and where the 1.5 is coming from. The third row is online resource hubs for youth. That is where the money is coming from. Our group is being asked to reallocation. Thank you.

We got an explanation for the reason for that. At this time, what I want to show you is what was included. This information is included in that same document. I started it by youth. This information is in the same document. I took out everything that was not youth related. The tier two pieces in the year one plan that are specific to youth, here they are.

I wanted to share them with you. 1.5 will not be enough to stand up a full-blown physical. There has been some talk about expanded it. I wanted to show you it. These five items are not moving forward in year one. This is almost \$8 million. My brother's keeper. College and career.

A program for 1.38 million. The office of diversion and reentry. Parks after dark. 8 million, 7\$.7 million. It is about community, social cohesion, gang violence reduction. County parks. A place for people together. I will stop there.

My brother's keeper, \$800,000 by the community. To expand trauma informed mental health services but competent professionals from similar background. Provide training to understand the signs of youth trauma. To provide emotional support and intervention. The college and career program, 1.38 proposed partners with post-secondary institutions to help individuals who wish to continue their education.

These programs provide the support needed for academic success. YDD, zip codes most impacted by the youth justice system. That is a \$1.5 million project. The proposal is for two additional youth centers. The need for a safe space for youth and community to engage in supportive activities.

On this chair two list is media career pathways for youth. Just under 1.6 million proposed by LA county arts department. The LA county department of arts and culture create pathways for youth was launched to develop and strengthen pathways that prepare youth of color, LGBTQ plus, disabled, current and former foster youth.

Probation or from low-income households, as well as others who have experienced barriers to participation in the workforce. The final one from community members is the professional development project to fund development and workforce development programs if R returning individuals to become trauma informed providers of systems navigation and substance abuse counseling.

Can you tell people what page they are on? If people want to follow along.

>>: 51.

VERONICA LEWIS: 51 of the measure. We have an option to fund one of these programs. There was not sufficient funding to include them in tier one. This is for members only we will open it up for public comment after a little while. I will stop sharing my screen. Let us start the conversation. Can you hear me?

>>: Thank you for bringing that up. Going through the things of the work is important to understand the continuity of that energy. In the idea of what this was originally intended for, when it comes to getting these resources for hubs, dozens or organizations serving as resource hubs for youth.

In that idea in keeping in the spirit, making sure they go to those serving in that role. A lot of them are doing full support and 24-hour development with youth. I know there was a lot of work that the youth justice reimagine people, our friends had advocated and pushed for last year. I feel like we can make sure they are considered and thought of. When it comes to the work happening.

There was a letter sent for the record in our last meeting.

It was signed by LA youth uprising, arts for healing and

justice. Children's defense fund of California. A few of the

many doing the work that sit in this area of focus. I want to

make sure we are thinking about them before we start talking about where else we can put the money.

VERONICA LEWIS: If I ask you to summarize what you are suggesting.

>>: We can make sure these funds are going through a thirdparty administrator with the dividing principles and have them
go towards the organizations that could use it that are serving
as resource hubs for youth.

VERONICA LEWIS: I would encourage our members to engage this this conversation. This is our first funding decision. We will go through the procurement process. What you are suggesting is existing youth centers can get money to serve more youth.

>>: That is correct.

VERONICA LEWIS: Good. Thank you. Norma and then Sophia.

>>: I would pitch for parks after dark. I hope we can do that in the next round. The funding is more significant. I want to mention. I know providing resources to community-based organizations is a priority, I want to mention there are departments that is a direct service provider.

They provide gap services to youth with no community-based organization. Any type of program should also include gap analysis of vulnerable communities of color with no organizations offering youth development work. LA county parks have 13 youth centers in partnership with the department of public health

We bring out organizations from other communities to provide services. We need to look at geographic analysis of where we don't have community-based organizations. Perhaps that is where organizations like mine who are service providers can support youth in those communities.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thanks. Sophia.

>>: I want to uplift the comments Derrick made. Share more from the letter he referenced from uprising coalition,
[Reading]. Given that we only have 1.5 million to work with,
which is like 70 percent less than what was initially
recommended for this recommendation from the youth development
and education subcommittee last year, I support putting the
money towards organizations that are working with youth that
have physical hubs. Doing that to a third-party process.

To get what Norma was talking about. Areas of higher need that don't have access to youth services. Something to keep in mind as we consider recommendations for year two.

VERONICA LEWIS: Sophia. Derrick, I need to make sure. Here is a list. Any other comments? Or thoughts from the members? I will ask you to tell me what your silence means. I want to encourage you all to participate. I would like to understand what the silence means. Megan.

>>: I will chime in. I want to echo the sentiments of my colleagues. I think is critical we listen to the work happening on the group. Make sure the resource hubs are located in

geographic spaces that are accessible. Making sure that funding is allocated towards temporary shelters. Part is trying to determine where the dollar should go. We are looking at organizations that we should make sure that of the list that is provided ensuring that those organizations or projects do some of those things. Leveraging resources for marginalize communities.

Echoing the sentiments of my colleagues.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. This will most likely be a procurement process. Thank you for lifting up some of the criteria. It will bible how the money should be spent and the types of organizations that should have access to it. We don't have the process to make that recommendation.

>>: This is for my son. I think this is an opportunity to reflect our priorities. I am a huge fan of parks after dark. The key thing is being able to identify pockets that do need help. Pockets that may not have access to these dollars. Uplifting what was said about the opportunity.

I am toggling between screens. It is a great opportunity. I would like to pursue that.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. I want to do housekeeping.

Members please do not use the chat feature. People ton phone cannot see. It is not recorded or included in the minutes.

Please refrain.

>>: Thank you for the clarity. Not to name organizations.

Is it our charge to recommend who or how? Especially with the RP process. Who would be responsible?

VERONICA LEWIS: It is okay. Our motion to recommend. If you name examples that is fine. Both the how and the who. How in terms of what is the project, what are the activities. We will move in the direction of trying to augment existing hubs. The youth hubs. Centers that can demonstrate experience, are in underserved communities.

Grand administrator, we would recommend what that should look like as well. We will define all those things. They would go through the procurement process.

>>: I want to speak a little bit. I am a young person. I want to speak a little bit to my experience as a young person coming up. I feel like a lot of things missing, I was surviving it on my own for a while. Probation came in for a while. That helped. Still struggling with mental illness, homelessness, hunger, a bunch of other stuff.

I felt like not to name any organization, I feel like when it comes to the money that we are spending, I think majority, if not all, should go to nonprofits and CBOs with a foot in the community. They could reach out. I was a young person that wouldn't normally go to a nonprofit or a school to ask for help.

I don't know if YJC, if they would do that, saying that in this process, I am praying that most of the money could go to

CBOs to get to young people. There are people that started the process for youth development and better LA at care first a while back. Young kids are now grown men and still have access to resources.

It has taken longer. Thinking about how to make the process as smooth as possible. We could only go at a certain pace. Get the money down to nonprofits. Prioritize the youth organizations, businesses, and stuff like that to get grants and stuff like that. They are doing work in the community. One of the things I have seen is that there is a lot of adults and older people in the game that have been doing peace building.

If we don't get the young people into the youth centers, these nonprofits, I don't think it is possible to take holistic.

Center the young people's voices too.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you for that. Reba.

>>: Thank you, ma'am chair. I support this. I was thinking on the same lines around our foster care youth. Agencies doing great work that need more support financially to meet the unique needs of our young adults.

That is the direction in which I would hope we would strongly consider.

>>: The importance of aligning the allocation with where the highest needs are. We have the tools that have been presented that demonstrate communities with a higher incidence

of violence, a higher population we are trying to serve. I want us to be thinking about that.

That we are not funding youth hubs in every district, but also particular, ensuring the funding only the need in those areas. The emphasis on the distribution with partners that are nongovernmental and nonprofit, that is something we have been hearing the entire time.

I think now is the time to respond.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Sophia.

>>: Thank you chair. We were talking about the how. It reminded me of another part of the letter I want to share. I know people who just joined may not have been able to see that. There was a recommendation to what was brought up around the physical hubs.

The recommendation for YDD. We are in full support of the development of 24-hour youth centers. 1.5 million is not a sufficient investment to do something meaningful. They were recommending the advisory committee fund centers that already exist for the current allocation. To do that through a third-party administrator.

VERONICA LEWIS: Okay. Thanks for lifting that up. Derrick. Then I will show you a quick summary. I think a lot of people want to share their thoughts. Then we will get some recommendations on the board. Then I will show you what I have drafted.

>>: I appreciate the input on this. I have a question. It may be towards ATI. (Inaudible) we are in the mode of finalizing or getting closer to that end. Is it possible to have this be in that purview? Would that have to be separate?

>>: Can you hear me? This is Vincent. I think the timing is good. If the committee would vote and decide it wanted this to be done through a third party, we could incorporate that into the third-party administration. We will be starting that soon.

>>: Thank you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thanks. Megan.

>>: Just wanted to echo the sentiments that Sophia shared with regard to the letter. 1.5 million is 75 percent less. I want to encourage us to answer the call but think ahead. Think about how to fund youth moving forward.

I think 1.5 million is insufficient. Wanting that to be at the foresight. Thinking about how to reimagine this process.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you.

>>: Any. I want to echo what everyone is saying. I want to thank Jared. I think we should go into more non-profits. Maybe culture-based programs to reconnect us back to who we are as brown, Indigenous, and so on people. I made bad decisions when I was younger. I was trying to figure out who I was.

Coming to my roots has helped me be who I am. It started me finding out who I am. They to a lot of research regarding that. I think it is very important. Thank you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you.

>>: Good evening. Trying to see how to word this the right way. I am trying to catch up and stay when we are talking. It seems like we are talking about some funding. Trying to figure out where to go to help youth, help people with troubled pasts.

I made some mistakes being young. One of the things that got me out of it was the independence of having a career. I think some of these nonprofits that offer training on how to have a career and independence, some of these should be in the conversation. Forgive me if they are.

I am heavily in support of that. I would like to know what you think. Someone with issues that are financially based, being able to provide is a huge help to eliminate that challenge.

Getting people trained up. Giving them skills on how to obtain a career and sustain a career. That should be on the table as well. I think that helps the entire circle

VERONICA LEWIS: This was allocated for something else. It is no longer needed. We are reallocating that. Many of the things you raised would come up as we talk about what to fund for year two. Does that help? I will share my screen. What I have gotten thus far that may be on the table is to fund existing physical youth centers and communities of highest needs.

Cultural based programs. Prioritize faith-based organizations for these dollars. Organizations who employ youth

and people with lived experience. One of the key principles.

Apply all other guiding principles. You will have it in the agenda. The grant administrator should be third party. Let us open it up to public comment on this item.

MYLES MESHACK: We have reached public comment. The release of 1.5 million. It should be reallocated. Use the raise your hand function if you are online. Dial star nine to raise your hand. Star six to unmute. We will start with Allen.

>>: Thank you. I am Allen. I am the executive director of the LA county youth commission. I think this is an important conversation. Ezekiel serves on this committee. The youth commission in partner with this committee and also the 37 plus departments to allocate funding to elevate issues.

I want to highlight that we will meet next week to discuss the issues facing our young people in the county on mental health. Funding around parks after dark will be discussed at further meetings. Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward to partnering with you all.

MYLES MESHACK: Next is Sharin. I can't see your full name. Forgive me.

>>: Hi. Good afternoon. I apologize. Thank you so much. I am the executive director of Ronnie's house. We are closing out a cohort with the investment and support of DMH. A part of our project we created a reentry hub that we are launching on

February 14th. It is aggregated over 4700 resources in the city of Los Angeles.

I would urge the committee not to take the hub off the table. Include it in the RFP as another way to deliver the services needed. Times have changed. Our youth are on the internet. One of the biggest issues we face is getting the resources to our community.

It is important for our youth to be able to have their voices included this that hub. Resources are aggregated. We are able to empower other nonprofits by integrating workshops.

Announcements.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Shamika. You are up.

>>: Hi, everyone. Founder and director of youth with a purpose. I agree with connecting youth with adults. Mentorship should be highlighted and put on the table as well.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Next is Olivia.

>>: Thank you. I am with urban peace and student uprising coalition. The 1.5 million be allocated to physical and online resource hubs for youth be kept for that purpose. Spaces to experience holistic support. Youth have not been asking for an online tool. They have asked for 247 physical resources. The recommendation was in public comment. We asked for 5.2 originally, 1.5 would address the current needs. People in the community that have connections with young people. 1.5 would make a huge difference.

CFCI needs to fund these hubs.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Cruti.

>>: I am here to give gratitude to those that are lifted up. As a youth worker, a trauma informed specialist, I get calls about urgencies. What is missing from our community is a street outreach team with peace builders and youth workers that can connect with humans in person. Not online. Not online. We don't need any more resources that are far away from the resources.

This has been an urgency 15 years. COVID-19 has made everything worse.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Christian green is next.

>>: Absolutely. Good evening. An educator at college out here. In regards to what was said, I love the idea of investing the money into paying out stipends. Problems are caused because they are no access to money. I want us to be intentional that we reinvest those dollars.

Out here in Antelope Valley, we are 75 percent of LA's foster youth. I want to echo what my fellows said about mentorship programs. We focus on these. These children need it as well as money in our pockets.

MYLES MESHACK: Next we have Rocky.

>>: Thank you. I am with black lines for immigration. I want to urge that this committee preserve the 1.5 million going to physical youth resource hubs. Using the guidelines that have been passed.

Only about ten percent of the total year one investment will go to youth development and education access that needs to be fixed. Youth hub programs need to provide critical services to LA county's future. Need to ensure all youth have access to these programs and services and resources.

This includes substance abuse and family counseling.

MYLES MESHACK: Up next we have Alex Dobbs.

>>: I am a youth pastor. I have asked over 25 people this question. If you can decide where 1 million gets invested, where would it go? 85 percent said resources if R youth. Parents want tutor programs to divert them away from gangs.

They want child care and sports programs that are affordable. One wants to start a teen center. Youth mentor needs safe spaces to engage with healthy adults. Residents desire to invest in the young people who are the future. I recommend the 1.5 million getting reallocated for youth and education. Thank you.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Karen. Go ahead.

>>: Can you hear me?

MYLES MESHACK: Yes.

>>: I want to echo what was said. It is important to redistrict the funds to community based organizations that are doing the work and operating as a resource hubs for youth. It is important to maintain the integrity. The funds should be released in the form of grants.

I want to uplift Meagan's comments. This conversation is an important reminder that the allocations are the floor and not ceiling. This body should push for sufficient funding for the full scope of youth programs and services.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Jason. Go ahead.

>>: Hello. I am fully supportive of providing funds to youth and after school programs to prevent youth from falling into poverty. Maybe some can be to work with homeless people and city of LA as well as downtown with the wonderful mission to keep people off the street.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Do we have anyone else that would like to make comment? Trinisha, can you unmute?

>>: I wanted to say yes. Everyone spoke about our youth.

The whole community and our seniors. Being completely neglected.

We have to give programs for our seniors who are in different

types of pain and are afraid to come out because of this

pandemic. Let us not forget our seniors in community-based

organizations. They focus on seniors and our youth. Let us keep

our seniors in mind as well. Thank you so much.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you.

>>: I understand what they are saying about giving the funds to people that are having it. What about the nonprofits?

We do have people that suffer. Their parents were drug addicts.

We are not able to get the funding to help out.

MYLES MESHACK: Thank you. Do we have anyone else who would like to make a comment? Any comments? Seeing no hands, back to you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you to everyone. Thank you for sharing your personal stories. I look forward for this committee to partner and work alongside the youth commission of one of the speakers. Thank you for your time and compassion.

Back to the discussion among the members. I showed you my summary of what I have heard. I wanted to pose two additional considerations for you all as to whether or not you want to include them. Number one. A few discussions around some of the key activities as it relates to these centers. 1.5 million, while this is a very narrowly focused conversation, there is a minimum amount of money.

These are three-year projects we are talking about from the start of the project launch. I want to see if you want to have a minimum amount. Those are two things I want to lift up at this time.

>>: If there is an opportunity, I want to echo Rosa's comments. We want to make sure some vulnerable communities, that we look at an alternative. I think it is very important that there are some areas. Someone mentioned the north county. I would hope this committee would be open to partnerships, so we don't bring someone in with a small amount of money and look at partnering organizations.

Departments that are delivering the services to see how we can further support.

VERONICA LEWIS: I have a reference. That is captured. I will pull it up in a second. Thanks for lifting that up. There seems to be an appetite for this to go to community-based organizations. We got \$100 million. I appreciate that. Rosa.

>>: Thank you. The exclusivity of parks after dark or community-based organizations, I think we can direct the CEO to ensure the communities demonstrating the deepest connections. I heard that there are some areas where there are nonprofit agencies. We want to make sure the services go to those most in need.

EVENT: CFCI

LOCATION: REMOTE

02/03/2022

5:15 PM - 6:30 PM

Early in my career I worked communities where there were few nonprofit partners but it was the community-based service agencies and the -- providing the services. Whether it was the same leaders out of their own pockets and how are we going to perform this particular program for arts or whatever for our kids. You know, that is just my experience. What I lived early in my career. I just wanted to make that clarification. And the other reason I raised my hand I heard some of the public comments around mental health and not being really important. I appreciate your notes and ensuring that we can really draw in the needs of COVID and I was talking to my alternate who said the need to respond during a COVID reality. A COVID pandemic and alignment of what is the highest need and if mental health is the highest needs in the neighborhoods make sure we are emphasizing to the CEO and prioritizing services that align with COVID response and mental health. Thank you, Vincent and Derrick, and then I want to see if someone wants to put a motion. I will throw this number out there. I want to offer; this limit it is number of agencies and I am tired of asking to do things for free.

For me I think no less than 250,000 per organization. Is where we need to go.

And I will put that out there. Since I haven't had anybody else say anything. Vincent?

>> Thank you chair a couple of points I want the committee to consider. One is there is a conversation about a hub model and conversation about funding going directly

to CBO and I think it is helpful if the committee defined what it meant by hub so when in conversations with the TPA we can communicate with what the communities desire is. Number one. Number two it is important when you think of the one point \$5 million you subtract 15 percent or assume 15 percent is going to come off of that and that is the amount going out the to the community. And I agree with your numbers chair that around 200 or so is a meaningful amount I think, and that is 200,000 a year for three years is a meaningful amount that you can see results in the community.

Lastly, I would also suggest that maybe the committee consider specific geographical parts of the county. To again really see the impact of the funding. I think if you disperse too much and too far then you don't see the impact of the funding and I wanted to make those suggestions.

>> Let me clarify and I was synthesizing and there is a you couple of mentions of hubs. Hundreds means there is a wealth of resources. I don't get a sense that is the direction most of the comments are moving in it is to attach resources to existing youth centers and enhance the ability. I think there is a lot of interest in the hub model which applies more resources and enhances and enriches services and I have a feeling that the year two recommendations are going to have that. For the most part that is different. Expanding existing youth centers is more the direction.

- >> I would ask the committee to define youth centers.
- >> That is part of the program activity and I captured a few things I will pull up on the screen in a minute. Thank you for that. And before we finalize a motion the I be helpful if you can let us know if it is detailed or not for the purpose of CEO to move it forward. Derek?

>> (Indistinct). To what was said about the percentage a TPA would get in order to manage funds. T with the 15 percent that brings it to 1,275,000. That is 1.275. Million. Right?

If you use that number. If the \$50,000 per org you can get 25.5 organizations. If you do a hundred thousand per org you can get 12.75 organizations. If you get \$250,000 you get six.

>> You get five.

>> You get five out of that. I just want to throw that out there as folks are thinking about the number. For me I feel like, maybe about a hundred thousand dollars. You know? Give you 12. You know what I mean? Depending. If you already have service that are already being rendered which means you have some level of funding that is already there. The hundred thousand dollars is to help to expand the work and thinking about it as well. I feel you on the 250,000 I am trying to figure out the idea of different places to be. Being able the to get solid. Gives us a chance to get southern LA county. And metro LA country and northern as well to disperse the funds in various ways. I will be also saying though as much possible. Ly push back a bit on what nor ma was saying it is not because the organizations were not there. But a lot of the times the org that may be doing work sometimes don't have spaces or hopping in different places and doing work with the youth. I am not saying they are hubs because that is a different conversation altogether. I want to say that. We talked to some of the smaller partners all of the time. Who a lot of the time want to be able to participate in stuff like this? One doesn't qualify for county contracts and always looking for funding as well. That is my point of view. I feel like if we can do a hundred to maybe a 150,000 it gives as little more wiggle room.

>> Thank you. I am going to insert. Part of the paradigm shift besides us pushing the county to do better and improve and reduce barriers for organizations. And everything else in meaningful ways I think the other paradigm shift for us is to do full cost reimburse fully fund the things that is we know are missing and I feel, you know, if they have funding it is piece meal. So many folks on the ground had to figure it out. We make figuring it out look easy because we have had to do it for so long. I hope this body not only pushes the county for a paradigm shift but as we think about the critical services, we fully fund them. As my mom says we can't boil the ocean. Even a hundred thousand dollars won't be enough to do what we need to do. And I will remind you we are entering year two process and move away from piece meal and give folks what they need. So, they can have what they need and that is my, I will say I am not comfortable giving any organization less than \$250,000 for the reasons I said.

- >> Thank you for that chair.
- >> Reba and Megan and I will pull up my screen.
- >> You have already said it thank you.
- >> Just want to go echo the sentiments. I think that is one of the reasons I want us to again, 1.5 million is crumbs. You giving people crumbs you can't expect them not to be hungry. We have to think about ways we can begin to build up youth spaces and hubs and invest in our youth because there is no other way that we are going to go move forward without it and I think it is critical we think about that. To put in perspective a hundred thousand is one staff position. How do we think about if we want to invest in youth, we have to think b about the bigger picture?

>> Thank you. So, Vincent point and the question I will pose about partner activities we don't need to go too granular. But we need to be clear about what we are proposing so it doesn't get misinterpret and had you will come back in a few months and be upset. Let's define what we mean by youth centers and I was listening and again I am trying to synthesize and hear the common themes. What I have heard so far from you all and from the folks joining that shared public comment. Youth center activities for what we are describing might include triage and basic needs. Some versions of youth counseling and care coordination. Mentor ship. Education support and tutoring and this is not an exhaustive list I am trying to get started. College preparation and vocational training support. And there is employment related. So don't have the to do all of these things. But it could be we define at least two of the things or whatever and work with the TBA to do that. Thoughts about that? Megan and then Vincent?

>> Thank you chair. As the committee is thinking about this. I wanted to also make sure you are aware or bring back to the forefront of your minds the programs that are currently # going to be a part of the TPA process. And there were two specifically in there that I think might address some of the activities that is are listed here and I just wanted to make sure that the committee could see that as it is sort of reflecting and refining how it wants to use the \$1.5 million and I asked tailor if she could find just the programs that are now currently part of the TPA program. So that the committee could see the funding that is going, going to be going via the TPA. There is one youth schools and summer programs and then there is another that is looking at developmental programs around youth specific housing intervention and I want the committee to have that in mind as it thinks about what it wants to do with the \$1.5 million.

>> This is helpful and I think the youth sent center if that is a direction, we move can be feeders into the programs and connected to them. Thank you for that Vincent. Taylor if you don't mind stopping. Thank you.

Any questions or clarification needed based on what Vincent said? I am going to share my screen again as well.

>> Based on the notes and reemphasizing your list of opportunities may include COVID response mental health. Mental health support groups. Different from the youth counselling. Because just that importance of emphasizing that during this COVID time. Right? There is so much more need for a variety of mental health counselling support. Grief counselling. Right? Different services that may not have been sort of friend of mine before.

>> Okay. Any thoughts. Derek share his thoughts and thank you for running the numbers. He gave some ideas of the number of youth centers that could be expanded through the work depending on the floor or minimum funding we the TPA to provide. With consideration. I don't hear any comments relate today partner activities. And I am going to go take that to mean you think this is a sufficient list in how we define youth centers currently in existence. Be we have come to the moment where we can have someone put a motion on the floor if they want to. We have taken public comment and now we can move forward and take action. Would someone like to put a motion on the floor? Wesley?

>> Not the motion. I have heard a lot I think I have a real good opinion that I want to add before we do this. I was talking back and forth with my, what do you call it? Alternate and the numbers started to hit me. 1.5 million is not a lot. And I think now my personal list and my opinion is we probably should fund 1 or 2 organizations that are in

motion and proven they are doing this type of thing to be able the to do something with that. That is my personal info now. I have enough info.

- >> Thank you. All right any of the members put a motion on the floor? Move to --
- >> To add to that real quick. Add the numbers to what he just said. If you do two orgs that is 637,500 per organization. You said two. You are splitting 1.2752.
 - >> And I think it is still small.
- >> Yes. So, I mean again, and here is my charge. Even when I agree with many of you on things. There is, folks have been disenfranchised for so long and there is so much work that needs to be done. It is my job to move us forward. Even if we don't like the job ahead. I got to move us forward to get the work done and I want to be clear the point that is many of you are raising about the inadequacy of resources and getting us to the finish line to do some of the work and I want to state that. With that said is there someone that would like to put something forth before the committee to consider and then if we get a second, we can discuss it.
- >> I move to have the \$1.5 million be allocated to a TPA to administer towards hubs that already are in operation throughout the county.
 - >> Is my language? If so, I will pull it up to help you. Is it what I typed?
 - >> Cool I can use what you typed that would be helpful.
 - >> You don't have to I was try to go support.
- >> Got you. I move to fund existing physical youth centers and communities of highest needs and underserved communities based on the already zip codes and focus on culturally based programs. And prioritize faith-based organizations prioritize distribution of funds to organization lngs and communities who employ youth and people

with lived experience and of course making sure that there are program activities that include (Indistinct) and basic needs. Linkage support. Young counselling care coordination. Mental health and trauma. COVID support mentor ship. Etc. And also apply all of the guiding principles from year one spending. And as the administrator should be a third-party administrator and we should be looking to fund to the tune of \$255,000 at the least. Per organization. I am.

- >> I am going to add that. Is there a second if for this motion?
- >> I will second it.
- >> Okay. We have a motion that side been second. So, I don't think we are ready for the vote yet. Let's discuss it. Any comments or questions. Friendly amendments or maybe you are ready for the vote. Thank you Derek for doing that and Reba for seconding it. Any comment.
- >> Chairman can we do the motion or comments in the chat so we can reflect back on that?
- >> I will put on the screen and Derek read and there is a couple of lines he didn't read I will read. The other activity that wasn't read alive is COVID support mentor ship. Education support and tutoring. T college preparation. Employment ready placement. And to be clear I typed this today that is why it is not on the web site and I will keep it on the screen during the discussion.
- >>Ly make a comment and think this is great and amazing and I think we should go forward. I am red yip.
 - >> Ready to vote. Any other comments before we call for the vote.

- >> I want to put an emphasis on may. Program activities may include. I want to make sure I say that. Not that they have to p be. Doctor --
- >> Can I suggest an amendment to substitute substance use to mental health.

 From a state policy perspective and other perspective, it doesn't always and I think that would help with clarity and I know the group has mentioned substance use on a number of occasions.
 - >> That is good do you accept that Derekk?
 - >> Amendment accepted.
 - >> Reba you stay with the amendment?
 - >> Yes.
 - >> Okay we are on call for the vote.
- >> Thank you madame chair and sorry to keep coming up. The first bullet. Funding existing physical youth centers. Concerned about the areas who may not be receiving services now where there is a higher density of need. Particularly those unincorporated areas and areas that are just poor. Don't have the language just yet but I don't want to go to vote without putting that back on the table and seeing how we might change that language a little bit.
- >> Based on, one I don't know if there is full agreement in terms offense the ones who don't have centers already. I know you raise that. If you want to offer a friendly amendment we will see if it accepts.

I want to be clear. The charge we have from the board of supervisors in terms of how we identify communities including black, brown and indigenous community ss specifically in relation to the tools that I made a parenthetical note for. And that is the

reason it is there. The CEO office is tasked to flush that out. And so, I don't know how much more granular we can go. Especially because we are talking about five awards. But that is why that language is there R. because that is really the data informed way our committee has been asked to make sure it goes to the highest need communities if that makes sense. Are there a few wires that you want to offer as a friendly amendment to add to this sentence specifically?

>> I think those are going to identify areas with highest need and potentially areas with no support we are plotting service delivery against need. Right? But this first part of funding, existing physical youth centers and that is our only criteria. Right? Or if it seems as though that is only criteria.

>> No that is what it is for the 1.5 million. Doesn't have to be for year two but that is what this will be. It would not be for, to new centers not for this money.

>> Got you. So, we could go forward with a bit more of the detail that is in here with a lens to let's ensure that we are not so prescriptive in terms of existing youth centers for year two.

>> Well, be sure to raise that when we start to get the concept recommendations. For this specifically for the vote we are going to take and the motion currently open the on the floor this is specifically for existing youth centers and I encourage as we talk about year two and review the concept recommendations that we receive you can raise that concern. That is okay. Norma

>> Sorry about that. I want to echo the comments. And I want to also lift up the youth voices that we hope that this really, this funding and it is so little funding and as we focus on the next funding, we need to look at areas where youth are not being serve and

had that is very critical and there are key communities where we don't have those supportive services for our youth. With so little money it is difficult to have, to place new investments in communities with 1.5 and I understand. But I think for the record. Thinking through that we need to, you know, we need to serve youth, especially where there are no supportive services and think about that looks like.

>> I will encourage you when we look at the prompts. The proposals and I think I landed on using the term concept recommendation to stay away from proposal. Please remember the point when we are asking people to submit. I think maybe a prompt can get at that. Taylor, please call for the vote for the motion that is on the table. And I will share the screen one more time and take it down. This is the motion and it has been read aloud that is currently on the table.

>> For the motion that is currently on the table I will start with Shawn how do you vote?

>> I.

>> Thank you.

>> Joy.

>> I.

>> Member Castillo?

>> |.

>> Thank you, member (Indistinct).

>> l.

>> Member Franklin.

>> l.

>> Member Earleu.
>> I.
>> Gary.
>> I.
>> Thank you, member Garcia?
>> I.
>> Thank you. Member Ghaly or her alternate. Sophia Li for Hernandez?
>> I.
>> Sherry.
>> I.
>> Chair Lewis.
>> I.
>> Member (Indistinct) or the alternate. Member of Brian?
>> I.
>> Thank you.
>> I.
>> Member Schoonover.
>> I.
>> Member Scorza. All right I will come back to him. Member Sherin?
>> I.
>> Member Soto.
>> I.
>> Thank you. Member Steele?

>> l.

>> Member Stevens.

>> l

>> Member Verrett or her alternate? And (Indistinct) for member Williams.

>> I.

>> Thank you. And just the double check in case he couldn't un-mute member Scorza as if you are there. How dough vote? With that the motion passes with 19 yes votes.

>> Thank you, congratulations committee, for making the first funning decision this is a precursor for the more difficult discussions we are going to have and thank you everyone for being respectful and listening to one another. And so, thanks. For going through that process together. Moving on to agenda item number five.

In the meeting in the first week of January shared a chart that got insights on the community engage strategies and we asked the members to complete a survey to indicate which types of strategies we are think are most appropriate for the communities we want to make sure are not left out of the general public community engage we are going to do. In next meeting hopefully we have an update on the community engagement consultant and we are doing the work so the people hit the ground and running.

We had 14 respondents and Taylor will share the information that came out of that.

And this is information we are going to share to inform the community engagement consultant in crafting the full-blown community engage plan. Maybe zoom in one more time. And you have the floor.

>> Thank you so much. Okay. I am going to go through this whole document. But just so in case it is helpful to know how it is structured. There are ten questions here each question you will see question one. And then members who responded were asked to indicate whether each of these community engagement methods or techniques should be used at the highest level of use or mid or medium level of use. A low level. Not at all or whether they are unsure about a given method or given community.

>> Needing the highest level of use for black and African American communities in particular were at the bottom. Social media.

>> It is here where the cursor is. One social media. Two focus groups. Three information sessions. Four e-mail notifications. And five digital ads. And you can see the others that were ranked in combination of high, medium, low use. And those are the most popular. This community and in fact you will see as we go through those the most popular, the five tools were the most popular for all communities with a few exceptions and I want to point out specific to black and African American communities' folk who is responded to survey also wrote in other options. Including collaborating with trusted partners and community ambassadors. Engaging churches. T parks schools' libraries and etc. Using television and not new media and using surveyed and focus groups are effective when there is an incentive or time spent. Like raffles and gift cards. Etc. Are there any questions on this community in particular?

>> Let's go through the whole thing please.

>> Sure. Moving to the second. You will see the second question is the same structure this time focused on native American and triable communities and at the bottom you will see the five highest ranked options. T this community social media. Listening

sessions. Digital ads. E-mail notifications and you can see the same five things as before and another response that suggests engaging able to counsel commissions.

Moving onto immigrant and refugee communities. The five highest ranked options were social media. Radio. Which is a new digital adding and news media and written in other response seen engage parks schools' libraries etc. for immigrant and refugee communities and you can see here in case of the number of people that indicated they were unsure of community engagement methods and techniques for this population was a little higher than the first two.

>> Next for youth and young adults the five highest ranked options include some of the same. Social media. Digital ads and also texts for young people in particular and [away from microphone] and written in other options and engage young people in schools, parks and library settings and also echoing those surveys get a decent response when incentives are given for time and helping basic needs. Gift cards for example.

Next the question about people who are monolingual in Spanish and other languages besides English. The five highest ranked options were social media. Information sessions. Digital ads. Radio again. Similar to immigrant and refugee communities and listening sessions and there were no other options.

Moving to people who are trance gender and or nonbinary the five highest ranked options for these communities were digital ads. Social media f. Focus groups. E-mail notifications and information session and sur rays were tied with this community written in responses included in trusted community-based organizations and also word of mouth.

Next are people who are gang members or exgang members five highest ranked options included social media. Focus groups p. Digital ads. Talk circles and listening

sessions and radio sessions and a written in option community-based organization for people who are gang members or exgang members.

Almost at the end people who are impacted by the justice system. Five highest ranked options here. Listening sessions. Social media. Focus groups ps. Digital ads and information sessions with written options recommending trusted community-based organizations again and word of mouth.

Second last are geographic and other communities most in need identified as equity and service indexes and the five highest ranked options here were information session. Surveys. Social media and digital ads are tied. Listening sessions and focus groups tied and also telephone hot line in particular for this community. Or this category. And then written in other responses included geographic representation of community-based organizations that trusted spaces, schools, parks, libraries and word of mouth.

Lastly for community and faith-based organizations. The five highest ranked options included information sessions. Focus group ps. Listening sessions. Radio again. Similar to monolingual and refugee communities and e-mail notifies and written in other options included it was helpful to have a trusted messenger to engage with senior that will share out the to the congregation and word of mouth and I will pass it back to you share.

- >> Thank you for formatting this way as well. This was insightful in many ways. So, comment or questions from the committee? Megan?
- >> One initial thought and sorry if I missed this. Was this shared with us prior them to today's meeting?

- >> I believe it was sent to the committee members the same time it was posted ton web site.
 - >> Got you. Okay. Thanks.
 - >> Any other comments or questions from the members?
- >> I have one comment. Can you go back to black African American? If I am not mistaken, we didn't select radio?
- >> Can you see here raid y row is selected here. About five responses saying radio should be highly use and had four saying it should be used at a medium or mid amount. It is in there it is just not one on the top.
 - >> Thank you.
- >> Megan did you have. Okay I see you put it down. Can you stop sharing if for a second? Any other comments?
- >> On the slide it says responses as of 2\3 and it was posted yesterday on the web site so we want to make sure to correct or clarify.
 - >> It was posted today. So, the date is right.
 - >> Okay. Thank you for the clarification.
- >> Members the deadline passed now that you see how the information ss being used we ask you to respond this week and this information is shared with the community engagement consultant when they come online as part of what informs their process. At this time, we will take public comment and we have time for ten minutes maximum. Myles tracks the public comment. On agenda number five only.
- >> Okay got it we reached public comment for agenda item five only. Once again use the online, use the raise your hand function for people on the phone. Star nine to

raise your hand and star six to un-mute yourself. And we will begin to take public comment now. Sharen go ahead.

>> Good afternoon and thank you so much and I wanted to bring attention to the data and as you see most of the data shows people are engaging through technology. Through social media. And I am just now joining this type of meeting but I urge you all to have more outreach and more engagement with us who are on the ground that are delivering these services and to reconsider something that connects the youth networks and delivers services to the youth through technology. Thank you.

- >> Thank you and next we have maracky. Go ahead.
- >> Thank you. I wanted to say it is community. And particularly black, brown, and indigenous people who should be at the center of the decisions that impact the services and communities that is need. The care supervision can only be -- promote community power sharing. Accountability and equity and I I you also want to encourage that the county along with CFCI committee have a participatory budgeting structure. I can't encourage enough that this be body operates through community and power sharing and it will work alongside other county departments in funding and implementation and it is interesting that community members were left out of the survey that was displayed. I encourage community members stay centered at the work when it is meant to be.
 - >> Thank you. Up next. Go ahead.
- >> Yeah. I don't want uses to forget seniors again who are afraid to come out. Many of them. So, we are going to have to have outreach. They don't do the social media well. They may do news. But social media. Radio maybe. But knocking on their doors. They really like to have that communication on the ground. People coming to

them and leaving fliers and they will get it on the mailbox. Let's think about the seniors who are suffering greatly. As far as the community overall I believe we should definitely have as the first speaker said more boots on the ground. Social media is fine but get out and engage with the community and be trusted by them is so much better than just sending or having a Zoom meeting or something. That is whey wanted to say. Let's get more personal.

- >> Thank you up next, we have Christian Green. Go ahead.
- >> Hey it is me again and I would like to echo with ha the lady said about the boot on the ground I think there is a lot of organizations. CBO especially here in the valley that are getting out in the trenches and have been in the trenches and knocking at the doors and community engaging. I think that I know COVID is happening right now and it is like we are in a slippery slope. I think we have to be intentional about us getting out and talking to the people. Especially for out here. Our communities aren't engaged. And so, it will be great to incentivize some of the foster youth and impacts youth. Those who have revivalism. Different communities so people we are engaging look like us and have been through our experiences and will also be engaged with us as well. That is my \$0.02. Thank you.
 - >> Thank you Christian. Up next, we have KHALIFA.
- >> Yes. I would like to say I think participatory budgeting is a must. When we started the ATI care first jail last initiative. It was based upon going out to the communities and getting their opinions. We also need to have the community voting on some of the proposals to see if they are trusted leaders or C BO in the community. I think that is what is missing here. That is my comment.

- >> Thank you. Up next, we have Kelly Pool. Kelly goes ahead.
- >> Thank you int to echo the comments about everyone else wanting more boots on the ground and more feedback from people who are impacted. I appreciate the members who took the survey I am curious about why perhaps it is community wasn't able the to take it and noted that a lot of the methods lifted up were digital or social media based. It might be one way. I thought the purpose of the robust community engagement process was to get feedback and in consistent relationship with people and community members and I urge the body to get this consultant on board as soon as possible or leverage other points mechanisms and community providers that could give feedback about all of the recommendations thank you.
- >> Madame chair I believe we have time for one more comment. Looks like the hand went down. Anybody that would like to make a comment? Devon Williams goes ahead.
- >> Hello my question is in regards to data being available to the public. Will it be sent to the e-mail with the CFCI newsletter or where am I able to view this at?
- >> Okay. Thank you DeVon. We have anybody else that would like to make a comment? Seeing no hands back to you madame chair.
- >> Thank you for the comments and the information is available on the web site and we look forward to the community engagement consultant coming on board at the end of the month over in March to fully engage the broader community. And get your input on the various things and again, this is one small portion of data we are trying to gather initially to help but the role is to engage the community. And I will say the ATI team make note. I don't know if it is captured in the strategies, we have of all of the boots on

the ground and make sure it is conveyed to the community engagement consultant when they come on board.

Moving on from this Derek is your hand up for this?

>> I know you like to say and many times we talk about the community engagement work. For clarification this is in addition to all of the recommendations that came that were in the year one recommendations when it came to community engagement. A lot of the work that comes with having support with local community-based organizations that are doing work on the ground and coordinating the efforts of outreach towards that end. What you saw is in addition to the things that are already commit today what we are doing with the community engagement strategy. For those who are asking for boots on the ground and making sure we are doing door knocking I really want t to make sure we are keeping note of who those folks are. So, when it comes to that part and we are calling on community member bs or community-based organizations to help do the work with us and alongside us. We can get as much of a robust opportunity for engagement from community members as possible. Please make sure that when that call comes out. You aren't shy from raiding your hand. Thank you.

>> Thank you for the reminder. We talk about things and small questions and thank you for raising that. The first point of order for the community engagement consultant is formalize a community engagement plan and have this in the public. I am moving on and thank you everyone and hopefully we have an update in two weeks from ATI about the time line for the consultant and moving the to the sixth and final agenda item for public comment. In terms of what is the plan for beginning to receive and review year two proposals. As a reminder there is a hundred million dollars allotted for year two

our community has been asked to make formal recommendations to the CO office in terms of how it should be spent and there are several lessons learned that came out of the year one committee process and other action requirements about the motion that govern it is creation of the body and the work that we do. Require that we ensure there is an equitable process for how anyone, whether it is a county department. Or members of the public are able the to submit information about concepts and projects that should be funded. One of the things that was also posted yesterday. Yeah, that is what I was thinking this was posted on the web site yesterday and committee members that. Were ideas of initial prompts. The thinking is that the process unlike it was last year for, again, anyone. County departments included will be the same and won't be two different processes and we are going to do a process online so that management and sorting of the submissions of those different concepts recommendations (Indistinct) can be started and easily filtered based on variety of factors that we need to filter it on. We are also looking at when the opportunity if for people to submit their recommendations opens up which we will talk about the timeline next meeting. We are looking to have several information sessions to make sure people understand what is happening and this is not po proposals from organizations for our body -- it is con septs recommendations for what types of projects and efforts should be funded in year two and that is where we will be soliciting and once that is released or at the same time it is released the goal is to have information sessions the to explain how people ask questions and the thing about putting in time and resources in the county departments have as oppose today a community member organization who has a concept but doesn't understand how to answer all of the questions and figure out what the budgetary costs are. I am proposing that we have some

TA sessions. So that community members and organizations who want to submit concepts. Have the capability to do so and, it is not a long and exhaustive thing it is not a proposal. That is what I am proposing and working with Taylor to create at least initially a table to show some of the questions that would be asked when we start to ask folks to submit the concept recommendations to us. And for the next 20 minutes or so I want you to weigh in on other consideration that we may want to ask about for r the people or entities submitting the concept recommendations. Before we share the screen. Any questions or comments about what I have shared so far? Taylor, please pull the screen and again this is obviously not a form. I said we were going to do it online. But you know what, I am sorry. I share it. I don't want to type into it. It is okay. I forgot I edited.

This is just an idea of some of the questions that would be included in the concept recommendations and so I will go through this and then you all can then please weigh in.

Can you see the screen?

The name of the project or concept in which CFCI areas does it focus on? These are directly out of the motion. These are the nine areas that remember we look at our framework. That everything needs to fall into.

The models that we haven't talked about here and we need a presentation soon. Alternatives to incarceration. Ever. Is partly hinged on the notion of intersect. Which I won't do a lot of education on. Essentially what is the type of intervention we are talking about? We ask the folks what is it? Which one or more does it fall into? And then a project description and here I think be some more questions. Here Taylor put descriptions in here for us and we will ask folks to describe the activities and key elements of the project. Including whether the recommendation is an expansion of an existing program,

strategy or starting something new and how it will constitute and address a specific need and key considerations that guide how it works and I think that will be a few different questions. But that will be the information we will be try to go capture through the project description. Population and or geography they are proposing or recommending to be serve and had describing the population or populations that will participate in the program activities including which geographic areas. Whether planning areas or destructs or some other type of an area or community by name or zip code. The equity and impact statement and so, definitely work with this. But share about how the project will increase equity and improve the lives offense the residents of LA county and including steps that the project will take to incorporate JENI and JESI and I think there is additional language you can you get from arty in terms of questions to ask there. Project goals and outcomes. Project cost and suggested grant administrator. County department. Third party administrator or something else. And that is the starting point for some of the questions that are asked in the concept recommendation that is folks are able to submit that ultimately, we will review. Comments about this or other consideration that we would want to have at our disposal as we make decisions?

Just to be clear. This ideally, we will get some input from you today. And I will work with Taylor to start to create the form itself. When we come back together, we will look at the actual concept requirement form. And talking about what we are going to be looking for as we start to prioritize so that is known at the onset and finalizing the time line for when we do this process. That is why we are hoping the to get your input today. Next time we can look at what it may look like. The actual form. Derrick?

- >> Two clarifying questions. [Away from microphone] going back to the top of what you brought forward. Doing this way is helpful to solve an issue that you all saw last year. What was it is issue again?
 - >> Doing what?
- >> Having this form and being put together this way to be able to capture project proposals.
 - >> Concept recommendations.
 - >> Concept recommendations.
- >> What allows, it allows everyone to be able to submit the level of detail and information. And two it makes a more trance parent or accessible process. I don't know if you are referring to the mechanism or it is an online form as opposed to something else. That allows us to receive the information in a way that goes into an excel file and being able the to extract and filter and sort it. And take away a lot of the manual labor that went into.
- >> And order when, of information that will be coming in for us. This will come to bear after a set of community engagement around what is going on and letting folks know about the opportunity? How would this om in a time line?
- >> Yes, we will talk about the time line. Remember the community engage doing three things. Part is informing what is going on. And part is listening period and other part is having people recommend what they think makes senses and then subsequently just to go a lot further down the path way. Once we make the difficult decisions to prioritize and come to our \$100 million projects there is still another community engagement

process for the community to weigh in on what the draft recommendations are going to be.

- >> Oak cool. Thank you.
- >> Thank you, Sophia.
- >> Yeah, could you please explain again and I think I am still confused. The difference between not being project proposals and being concept recommendations.
- >> I am trying to go word; I will take suggestions. Proposals normally we make a proposal or whatever you want t to do. Our body is not automobile to receive proposals from organizations that say we are going to allocate funding to you. You know that the exercise goes through whoever the grant administrator is. First year we received concepts and ideas for projects and this committee will review the ones that mote the criteria set forth and ultimately end up having to prioritize in the same way year one had \$900 million worth of strategies and got to pint where it was down to 170. We will have to do the same thing. But try to go avoid confusion if people feel they submit our body if we move forward means we are going to give the money directly. Which is not within our purview. I don't know if that is what you are asking?
 - >> It was. That was helpful. Thank you.
- >> I am trying to go find language that minimizes confusion. If you have suggestions. Proposals as we know means something very specific to most of us. We are open to suggestions and I am trying to go minimize confusion lng and frustration. Once this information is out there.

Are there any other prompts or r questions that you are miss the purpose of us looking at this with you today is so you can weigh in and when we come together. The

next meeting is solely dedicating today the year two process. Developing the criteria, they are going to look at. Hopefully finalizing the form and finalizing the time line. So, we can get moving and we are, we do have until July or so to get this thing done. Any other prompts or any over additional information that you think will be important and certainly you can add next time when we do the form and if you have it know it will be great to hear.

Any, does the silence mean that you think this is an exhaustive list of information?

Or list of questions that would be useful for us to prioritize and make decisions?

- >> Yes.
- >> Thank you so much. Derek?
- >> The areas I know why we selected it. Are we able the to contribute more to the areas? Or no? The proposed project areas?
 - >> Right here?
 - >> Yeah, the top one. I know we have the nine.
- >> You want to break down further? Yeah, I was thinking about that I think we should ask them to be more specific. This in particular is important and governs our work and maybe there is another category where it specifies and we can categorize in a different way. Is that what you are saying?
 - >> Yeah.
- >> Taylor did you catch that? I think we need another section specifying what it is.
 - >> That makes sense thank you.
- >> Some might be capacity building because we need that. Yeah. We will add a different section. We need that Derek governs our work.

- >> I was thinking when you said it helps to -- yeah. I don't want to get too prescriptive. But prescriptive enough to know exactly what categories things go in. You know what I am saying?
 - >> Yeah. Thank you we will add that. I will write a note and Taylor has it.
- >> Hi. Again, I want to ask if we can add culture-based programs as well. It is important especially in the indigenous community about the importance of culture and I will scream this until I die. It is what saved my life.
- >> What kind of question. Tell me remember this is come ng the form of questions that people submit for the concept recommendations. Tell me what we might ask to get at that?
 - >> Culture. For culture based, culture-based programs.
 - >> What kind of question would we pose to understand?
- >> We could pose what type of, okay. So, we have, what type of healing do you need? Or what type of healing do you think would help you with whatever things that are going on. Generational trauma. Past historical trauma and things Luke that.
- >> Taylor maybe it is a question about is the primary intervention based in some type of culturally focused is the intervention culturally focused if yes describe and if no it is no. How about that?
 - >> Thank you. Makes a lot of sense. Thank you.
 - >> Thank you, Sophia?
- >> Yeah, I had a couple of things. One I am curious if maybe we can add a question along the lines of these kinds of services or if it is proposing ago particular kind of service. If they are already offer and if so what capacity? I am thinking of in the county

version of this document. I think there were check marks whether it is a new program or existing program they were try to go expand and even though I know people won't be proposing specific programs I think it will be helpful if we understand if something is being proposed as a new idea or any kind of infrastructure exists already.

>> Okay. You think to your point a specific question. And I think we eventually use it on the subcommittee. Brand new. Are you trying to expand or modify something existing? I think those are the three selections. How does that sound? Actual selections in addition to the description.

- >> Yeah, I think that sounds good.
- >> Okay.
- >> And I was going to bring up for population and geographic areas served and I wonder and I know this is a draft of if the form and (Indistinct) is going to be online. I don't know if this is already. But maybe having those check box or drop-down options to make it easier to exile the data later.
- >> When we come together next time, we are going to rereview the form. I think as much stuff is going to be helpful and I made a note here.
 - >> Okay great.
 - >> Okay.
- >> And the last thing I was going to go say. To my earlier question, I guess. I wonder if it is helpful whatever final form we have. Having a disclaimer of what this is or isn't and even just a quick sentence or a couple of sentences about how the process works and how the advisory committee will review these submissions to reduce any confusion about people thinking that this is a project proposal process.

- >> Okay. Thank you. Sharon.
- >> Yes, my silence has to do with I need time for processing such an extensive document. And it is difficult to process too with distractions as you are trying the to listen to others. It is a lot of information.
- >> Okay. We'll let us know if you have some thoughts and again, there will be another opportunity to weigh in on what the form looks like. But it will be based on the same concepts and thank you for sharing that I appreciate you sharing that. Derrick. And then we are going to take public comment. And we are not voting today and wanted to get your input so we can bring something forth. The next meeting, we will try to get you this stuff earlier. Be ready to have serious conversations and make some decisions. Derrick?
- >> An option for, is this a collaboration or partnership? Or is this an individual organization? In the idea of knowing how many different organizations or areas may be impacted bauds of the collaboration or partnership.
- >> Thu about it a little bit. It is not a proposal in that way for specific organizations. It is not for that.
 - >> I feel you. Okay.
- >> Maybe there is another way of getting at how many people are supposed to be involved. Yeah. Let's think about that.
 - >> Okay.
 - >> Yeah. Make sense what I said?
 - >> That makes sense staying high level. It is not a proposal.
 - >> Yeah. Let's think about it a little more. Okay.

- >> Okay. If you come up with else let me know in the meantime and we will work on it in next week and let me know if you come up with ideas. Let's take public comment on this and general public comment as well. Please.
- >> Okay. We have reached it had final public comment for today's meeting on the most recent item or general public comment is also allowed and once again raise your hand if you are on the phone star nine to raise your hand and star seven to un-mute yourself. I see hector ra mir rez. Go ahead.
- >> Good evening and thank you and one of the recommendations I wanted to ask and uplift tension requirement make sure consideration for culturally based practices. Those particular types of community defined based practices that we currently have in place and he could I would like to recommend in there that you include a consideration in the budgeting for accommodations. Disability accommodations that way it doesn't eat out of the proposals budgeted and it is actually billed for ahead of time and maybe in this process the agencies can receive some it can Cal assistance to make sure that the services can be accessible to everybody. Thank you.
 - >> Thank you hector. Up next, we have Laura. Go ahead.
- >> Hi good evening and my name is Laura (Indistinct) white people for black lives and I wanted to chime in on the youth development piece and reiterate what others have said that the item should be funded to the tune of 1.5 million. The funding needs distributed through the third-party administrator using the guide license that have passed. When we think of town like (Indistinct) and south central los angles we know a hundred thousand dollars would not have create it had community garden. Murals and library to

transform the former courthouse in an amazing youth senor and we urge the body to fund youth development to the full tune of 1.5 million. Thank you.

- >> Thank you. Christian green goes ahead.
- >> I want to say thank you to the team and all of you for the focus areas. Restorative justice. Youth development. Rental assistance and thinking of it in the term's offense the conversation. What I will say is I don't see the outcomes here if it is existing project seeking funding and I will uplift potential options for collaboration and partnerships and I want uses to think about equity when thinking about this. Especially those in the first year going into C BO or nonprofit work. I think you can relate to when we were looking for funding it was hard to get funding and we want to be sure we are equity mined when looking at that. Thank you. Bye.
 - >> Thank you. Rolando. Go ahead.
- >> Thank you very much everything for your contributions and help and services. I think it might be helpful to get public input who have personal experiences with these issues. To contribute to this form and generating ideas and concepts I I think maybe creating an open call for that participation to happen via e-mail or attend these meetings but creating space for people the share their personal experience and stories that were helpful for them in combatting some of the issues. Thank you.
 - >> Thank you. Jason.
- >> This is Jason with the psychosocial pod cast and I want to thank the body for the work you do every day and uplift the comments made about providing enough funds for resources for the LGBTQ community. LGBTQ community as well as for mental health in the youth. Seems like we are going to be funding some programs from the CBO for

the youth and if so, I hope a large part goes to the LGBTQ community as well as mental health resources for those people and as always, any funds that are left to be going towards helping to prevent more people falling into poverty and homelessness in Los Angeles and California. Thank you so much.